

An Operator's Guide To Eliminating Bias In CEM Systems



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION

It is a pleasure to transmit to you this *Operator's Guide to Eliminating Bias in CEM Systems*. Prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency by the internationally recognized continuous emission monitoring (CEM) systems expert Dr. James A. Jahnke, the *Guide* is an important tool for diagnosing and correcting the causes of measurement bias in CEM systems like those specified under the Acid Rain Regulations.

Experience gained through implementing the Acid Rain Program has shown that CEM operators can eliminate bias from monitoring systems by instituting and following appropriate installation, operation, and quality assurance and control procedures.

At the same time, Phase I certification test review has also revealed that many sources could use assistance in recognizing the physical and operational problems that produce systematic error and the remedies available to address those problems.

The Operator's Guide is designed to meet this need. Used as a reference document, the Guide makes it easier for CEM operators to improve monitor accuracy and meet regulatory requirements.

Sincerely,

Brian McLean, Director Acid Rain Division

An Operator's Guide To Eliminating Bias in CEM Systems

James A. Jahnke, Ph.D. Source Technology Associates Research Triangle Park, North Carolina

and

The Cadmus Group, Inc. Raleigh, North Carolina

Under Contract to
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Acid Rain Division
Washington, DC

November 1994

Contract No. 68-D2-0168 Elliot Lieberman Work Assignment Manager

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Principal reviewers of this *Guide* were Elliot Lieberman, Ph.D., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA); James Peeler, Emission Monitoring, Inc.; and William Warren-Hicks, Ph.D.; The Cadmus Group, Inc. Their insightful comments, corrections, and contributions to this *Guide* are greatly appreciated.

The author would also like to express his appreciation to the following EPA reviewers: Larry Kertcher, John Schackenbach, Bryan Bloomer, Kevin Culligan, Kim Nguyen, and Monika Chandra of the Acid Rain Division; Patric McCoy of Region 5; Jon Knodel of Region 7; and Roosevelt Rollins of the Atmospheric and Exposure Assessment Laboratory.

NOTICE

This is not an official policy and standards document. The opinions, findings, and conclusions are those of the author and not necessarily those of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Every attempt has been made to represent the present state of the art as well as subject areas still under evaluation. Any mention of products or organizations does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. This operator's guide is not an evaluation of the bias study the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is to perform under 40 CFR 75.7.

PREFACE

The inclusion of the t-test for bias in the Acid Rain Regulations, 40 CFR Part 75, signaled a marked improvement in the capability to detect a significant source of measurement error that had previously remained hidden. The bias test provides an independent check of the full monitoring system, capable of determining whether systematic error is present in a monitoring system's measurements. It is a very forgiving test: it must be 97.5% confident that the error is not random in nature before it will describe the measurements as being biased. Field experience confirms that false positives are a rare occurrence when the bias test is properly performed.

At the same time, the capability to detect bias left environmental technicians and instrument operators with the often daunting job of, first, diagnosing the cause of the measurement bias, and, then, taking steps to correct it. This publication is intended to make that job easier.

It consists of two major components: A pull-out chart, entitled $\it Eliminating \it Bias in \it CEMS-A \it Checklist, \it provides a comprehensive listing of the monitoring system problems that can cause systematic error. To make it easy for users to find problems associated with a particular type of monitor, the problems are grouped by monitoring system component type. A brief description and potential corrective actions are shown for each problem. Finally, the <math>\it Checklist$ directs users to the appropriate pages in the accompanying $\it Operator's \it Guide, \it where fuller descriptions$ of problems and remedies can be found.

The accompanying *Operator's Guide to Eliminating Bias in Monitoring Systems* is organized into eight chapters. Chapter 1 describes the history and the engineering and statistical basis for the bias test. Chapters 2–7 provide detailed descriptions of problems that can cause systematic measurement error and remedies that can be taken to address those problems. Each of the chapters is devoted to the problems associated with a different type of monitoring system component. The chapters begin with a table, excerpted from the *Checklist*, summarizing the problems to be discussed in that chapter. The problem areas covered are: Probe Location and Stratification (Chapter 2), Extractive Sampling Systems (Chapter 3), In-Situ Gas Monitoring Systems and Opacity Monitors (Chapter 4), Flow Monitors (Chapter 5), Gas Analyzers (Chapter 6), and Data Acquisition and Handling Systems (Chapter 7).

Chapter 8, the last chapter in the *Operator's Guide*, discusses elements that should be incorporated into ongoing Quality Assurance Programs to detect and prevent the problems that produce systematic error in monitor measurements. Each chapter ends with a list of references for further information on the subjects covered.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PREFACE		i
LIST OF FIGU	RES	v
LIST OF TABL	LES .	vii
		RVIEW: ACCURACY, PRECISION, AND BIAS
	IN C	ONTINUOUS EMISSION MONITORING SYSTEMS 1-1
	1.1	Background
	1.2	CEM Systems and Certification
		$1.2.1 \text{Performance-Based Standards} \dots \dots 1-2$
		1.2.2 Relative Accuracy Test Audit 1-3
	1.3	Accuracy and Bias — A Conceptual View
		1.3.1 Relative Accuracy Test 1-4
		1.3.2 Bias
		1.3.3 Bias Test
	1.4	Eliminating Bias and the Bias Adjustment Factor 1-9
	1.5	Sources of Error in CEM Systems 1-10
	1.6	References
	1.7	Additional Reading 1-11
CHAPTER 2:	HIGI	HLIGHTS 2-1
-		DUE TO PROBE LOCATION AND STRATIFICATION 2-2
	2.1	Introduction
	2.2	Reference Method Traverse Points and Sampling Locations 2-4
	2.3	Gas and Flow Stratification
	2.4	Quantifying the Degree of Stratification 2-7
	2.5	Minimizing Bias in Stratified Gas Streams
	۵.5	2.5.1 Stable Stratification Patterns 2-10
		2.5.2 Varying Stratification Patterns
	2.6	References
	2.7	
	2.1	Additional Reading 2-12
CHAPTER 3:	HIGI	HLIGHTS 3-1
CHAPTER 3:	SOU	RCES OF BIAS IN EXTRACTIVE CEM SYSTEMS
	3.1	Introduction
	3.2	Probe Effects
		3.2.1 Source-Level Systems
		3.2.2 Dilution Probes
	3.3	Water Entrainment
	3.4	Leaks
	3.5	Gas Adsorption

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

			Page
	3.6	Absorption	3-12
	3.7	Dry Extractive Systems and Moisture Measurements	
	3.8	Summary	
	3.9	References	3-14
	3.10	Additional Reading	3-14
CHAPTER 4:	HIG	HLIGHTS	. 4-1
CHAPTER 4:	SOU	RCES OF BIAS IN IN-SITU MONITORING SYSTEMS	. 4-2
	4.1	Introduction	. 4-2
	4.2	Bias in In-Situ Pollutant and Diluent Gas Monitors	. 4-3
		4.2.1 Point Monitors	
		4.2.2 Line Averaged Measurements	. 4-5
		4.2.3 Internal Calibration Techniques	. 4-5
		4.2.4 Flow-Through Gas Cell Calibration Techniques	. 4-7
	4.3	Bias in Transmissometers	
	4.4	Summary	4-10
	4.5	References	
	4.6	Additional Reading	4-11
		HLIGHTS	
CHAPTER 5:	SOU	RCES OF BIAS IN FLOW MONITORING SYSTEMS	. 5-2
	5.1	Introduction	
		5.1.1 Differential Pressure Sensing Systems	
		5.1.2 Thermal Sensing Systems	
		5.1.3 Ultrasonic Monitors	. 5-5
	5.2	Summary	. 5-6
	5.3	References	. 5-7
CHAPTER 6:	HIG	HLIGHTS	. 6-1
CHAPTER 6:	SOU	RCES OF BIAS IN THE GAS ANALYZER	. 6-2
	6.1	Introduction	
	6.2	Analyzer Interference Effects	
		6.2.1 Instrument Design	
		6.2.2 Corrections	. 6-4
		6.2.3 Scrubbing	
	6.3	Ambient Effects	
		6.3.1 In-Situ Analyzers	
		6.3.2 Extractive System Analyzers	
	6.4	Analyzer Design	. 6-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

			Page
	6.5	Calibration	. 6-7
		6.5.1 Protocol 1 Gases	. 6-8
		6.5.2 Bias Due to Calibration Gases	. 6-8
		6.5.3 Bias Due to System Response	. 6-9
		6.5.4 System Calibration	6-11
	6.6	Summary	6-12
	6.7	References	6-12
	6.8	Additional Reading	6-13
		HLIGHTS	. 7-1
CHAPTER 7:		RCES OF BIAS IN THE DATA ACQUISITION	
	ANI	D HANDLING SYSTEM	. 7-2
	7.1	Interfacing	
		7.1.1 Analyzer Inputs	
		7.1.2 Control System/DAHS Synchronization	
	7.2	Programming	
	7.3	Adjustment/Correction Routines	
		7.3.1 Daily Zero/Span Corrections	
		7.3.2 Flow Monitor System Corrections	
		7.3.3 Dilution System Pressure/Temperature Corrections	
		7.3.4 Linearity/Other Corrections	
		7.3.5 Bias Adjustment Factor (BAF)	
	7.4	Summary	
	7.5	References	
	7.6	Additional Reading	. 7-9
CHAPTER 8:		S AND QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAMS	
	8.1	Managing Bias	. 8-1
	8.2	Detecting Bias Through Independent Measurement	
		8.2.1 RATA for Gases	
		8.2.2 RATA - Flow	. 8-7
		8.2.3 Cylinder Gas Audits (CGAs,	
		Calibration Error, Linearity)	
		8.2.4 Opacity Audit Jigs/Flow-Through Gas Cells	
		8.2.5 Calculations from Plant Parameters	
	8.3	Detecting Bias by Using Common Sense	
	8.4	References	
	8.5	Additional Reading	8-13

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1–1.	A Typical Continuous Emission Monitoring System 1-2
Figure 1–2.	Relative Accuracy Test Audit Using Instrumental Reference Methods
Figure 1-3.	An Illustration of Precision in Two Hypothetical Relative Accuracy Test Audits 1-7
Figure 1–4.	t Distribution for a RATA, Showing when Bias Occurs
Figure 2–1.	Stratification and Reference Method Testing in a Stack 2-2
Figure 2–2.	Stratification in Gas Concentration and Flue Gas Velocity 2-4
Figure 2–3.	Gas Sampling Reference Method Traverse Points Specified in 40 CFR 60 Appendix B 2-5
Figure 2–4.	Conditions Under Which Gas Concentration Stratification May Occur
Figure 2–5.	Conditions Under Which Flow Stratification or Cyclonic Flow Conditions May Occur 2-7
Figure 2–6.	Velocity and Gas Concentration Profiles
Figure 3–1.	Potential Sources of Bias in Extractive Systems
Figure 3–2.	Probe Blowback 3-4
Figure 3–3.	Solutions to Dilution System Bias Problems
Figure 3–4.	Desorption Times of NO and SO ₂ with Various Clean Sample Line Materials at a Length of 15.2 m
Figure 4–1.	A Typical In-Situ Point Monitor for Measuring Gas Concentration 4-3
Figure 4–2.	An Internal Gas Calibration Cell in a Path In-Situ Monitor 4-6
Figure 4-3.	Flow-Through Gas Cell for Path In-Situ Monitor Certified Gas Calibrations 4-8
Figure 4–4.	Zero-Pipe Configuration
Figure 5–1.	Approaches for Obtaining Averaged Volumetric Flow Using Differential Pressure Systems 5-3
Figure 5–2.	A Grid of Thermal Sensors Monitoring at Reference Method 2 Traverse Points
Figure 5–3.	Pitched Flow After a Bend

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

		Page
Figure 6–1.	Asymptotic Calibration Check Response Curve	. 6-9
Figure 6–2.	Problems in Calibrating Time-Shared Dilution Systems	6-12
Figure 8–1.	Example Quality Control Chart	. 8-3
Figure 8–2.	A Transmissometer Audit Jig	. 8-9
Figure 8–3.	Audit Cell for an In-Situ Double Pass Gas Analyzer	8-10

LIST OF TABLES

	1	Page
Table 1–1.	t-Values	. 1-5
Table 2–1.	Methods for Minimizing Bias due to Stratification	2-10
Table 3–1.	Types of Extractive CEM Systems	. 3-2
Table 3–2.	Effects of Gas Blends on Dilution System Measurements	. 3-8
Table 3–3.	Remedies to Molecular Weight Effects in Dilution System Response	. 3-9
Table 4–1.	Types of In-Situ CEM Systems	. 4-2
Table 6–1.	Typical Interferences Found in CEM System Analyzers	. 6-3
Table 8–1.	Independence of Typical Auditing Methods	. 8-5